UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,095	03/01/2002	Hideaki Ono	FUJZ 19.484	1978
	7590 01/26/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
575 MADISON	· · — - · <del>-</del> —	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/087,095	ONO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Salad Abdullahi	2457
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11 and 14-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,7,8, 11 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a should be acceptable.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiven Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/087,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/5/2009 has been entered.

2. Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 13-14 are allowed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 9-10- and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 1. Applicant's argument with respect to claims 5 and 6, has been fully considered but are not persuasive for the following reason.

Applicant alleges "Rajalme fails to teach extracting identifying information specific to a mobile IP terminal from an arrival packet having a destination designated to a plurality of servers with a representative address; and determining a single destination server, from among the plurality of servers corresponding to the destination of the packet, to be connected based on the identifying information, and rewriting the destination of the packet to be changed into the destination server from the plurality of

servers, and to be transmitted to the destination server, as claimed in claim 1, and similarly recited in claims 5 and 6".

Examiner respectfully disagrees ,because Rajalme discloses replacing means may be provided to replace a care-of address in a source address field of said matching packet with a the home address as specified in said matching binding cache entry and routing means may be provided to route the packet to a correspondent node specified by the destination address in the packet. To this effect, the contents of the incoming packet are modified in order to replace the care-of address in the source address field with the home address of the mobile node 1 as specified by either the binding cache entry or a possible mobile IP home address option.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajahalme U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0181603 A1 [hereinafter Rajahalme] in view of Sain-Hilaire et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0083209 [hereinafter Sain-Hilaire].

As per claim 5, Rajahalme discloses a load balancer comprising:

Art Unit: 2457

extracting means identifying information specific to a mobile IP terminal from an arrival packet having a destination designated to a plurality of servers with a representative address(see paragraph 0047);

requesting means requesting a home agent to notify a change of a care-of address when the care-of address of a terminal has changed upon an arrival of a first packet addressed to a server (see paragraphs 041 and 0047-048); and means for determining a destination server to be connected by regarding the notified care-of address as identifying information, the destination server corresponding to a destination of the packet (see fig. 1 and paragraph 0041 and 0047); and wherein the destination server is associated with the mobile IP terminal according to a load balancing algorithm, and rewriting the destination of the packet to be changed into the destination server from the plurality of servers, and to be transmitted to the destination server (see fig. 1 and paragraphs 0047-0048).

Rajahalme is silent regarding: wherein the mobile terminal can communicate with the single destination server before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network.

Sain-Hilaire in an analogous art discloses a system where a mobile IP node roams between internal network and external network wherein the mobile terminal can communicate with the single destination server (home agent 210) before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network (see paragraph 0017 and 0032). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention presented with teaching Rajahalme to incorporate the

teachings of Sain-Hilaire such as enabling the mobile terminal to communicate with the single destination server (home agent 24) before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network to provide/maintain uninterrupted communication between the mobile-device and the server as the mobile-device moves from one network location (28a) to another location (28n).

As per claim 6, Rajahalme discloses a load balancer (see fig. 1, element 5 and paragraph 0043) comprising:

extracting means identifying information specific to a mobile IP terminal from an arrival packet having a destination designated to a plurality of servers with a representative address(see paragraph 0047);

means for requesting a terminal to notify a change of a care-of address when the care-of address of the terminal has changed upon an arrival of a first packet addressed to a server(see paragraphs 041 and 0047-048);

means for determining a single destination server to be connected by regarding the notified care-of address as identifying information, the destination server corresponding to a destination of the packet (see fig. 1 and paragraph 0041 and 0047);and wherein the destination server is associated with the mobile IP terminal according to a load balancing algorithm and rewriting the destination of the packet to be changed into the destination server from the plurality of servers, and to be transmitted to the destination server (see fig. 1 and paragraph 0047 and 0049); (see paragraph 0047-0048)

Rajahalme is silent regarding: wherein the mobile terminal can communicate with the single destination server before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network.

Sain-Hilaire in an analogous art discloses a system where a mobile IP node roams between internal network and external network wherein the mobile terminal can communicate with the single destination server (home agent 210) before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network (see paragraph 0017 and 0032). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention presented with teaching Rajahalme to incorporate the teachings of Sain-Hilaire such as enabling the mobile terminal to communicate with the single destination server (home agent 24) before mad after the mobile IP terminal moves from one network to another network to provide/maintain uninterrupted communication between the mobile-device and the server as the mobile-device moves from one network location (28a) to another location (28n).

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-272-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,095 Page 7

Art Unit: 2457

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457